IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO : 2064 of 2004

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 13/08/2004 in WP NO : 6088 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

P. Satyanarayana, S/o. Venkatachalam,
R/o. Paidipally, Hanamkonda Mandal, Warangal District.

..... APPELLANT

AND

1 the Secretary and Correspondent, Dr. V. Malleshwar Memorial Saraswathi, Aided High School, Kareemabad, Warangal.
2 The District Educational Officer, Warangal.
3 The Regional Joint Direcator of School Education, Warangal.
4 The Commissioner & Director of School Education, A.P., Hyd.
5 Government of A.P., rep. by its Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Hyd.
6 K. Sridevi, D/o. Lasminarasimha Chary,
Saraswathi Aided High School, Kareemabad, Warangal.

.....RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellant:MR.D.LINGA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents.: MR.S.LAXMA REDDY

G.P. for School Education.

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

JUDGMENT : (Per the Honourable Smt. Justice T.Meena Kumari)

This writ appeal is filed by the writ petitioner-appellant herein aggrieved by the order in W.P. No: 6088 of 2004, under which the learned single Judge dismissed the said writ petition.

The appellant herein is the writ petitioner. The learned single Judge having observed that, the petitioner has not questioned with regard to the process of selection and the competence of the sixth respondent, dismissed the writ petition. Having aggrieved by the same, the present writ appeal is preferred by the appellant-writ petitioner.

Heard the learned counsel.

We have perused the order impugned and the entire material available on record. It is observed by the learned single Judge that admittedly, the sixth respondent stood first in the selection process and secured more number of marks and therefore, she was selected. It is further observed by the learned single Judge that the petitioner has not questioned with regard to the process of selection and the competence of the sixth respondent.

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner has contended that the writ petitioner has possessed the requisite qualification whereas the sixth respondent did not possess the requisite qualification. It is further contended that at the time of interview, the subject expert in wood work has alone attended the interview as Expert Member but no expert in tailoring had attended the interview and therefore, the selection and appointment of the 6th respondent is untenable.

As rightly observed by the learned single Judge, the writ petitioner has not questioned the process of selection and the competence of the sixth respondent. Therefore, we are not inclined to agree with the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the writ petitioner. We do not find any illegality or irregularity in the order passed by the learned single Judge and as such, it does not warrant any interference by this Court.

In the result, the Writ Appeal is dismissed confirming the order of the learned

single Judge. No costs.

_____

Justice T.Meena Kumari

Date : 30th December, 2004

_____

Justice S. Ananda Reddy

Dvs/Gurc.

Copies to the

1 the Secretary and Correspondent, Dr. V. Malleshwar Memorial Saraswathi, Aided High School, Kareemabad, Warangal.
2 The District Educational Officer, Warangal.
3 The Regional Joint Direcator of School Education, Warangal.
4 The Commissioner & Director of School Education, A.P., Hyd.
5 Government of A.P., rep. by its Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat,Hyd.
6 K.Sridevi,D/o.LasminarasimhaChary, Saraswathi Aided High School, Kareemabad, Warangal.

7.G.P. for School Education, High Ciourt Buildings, High Court of A.P. Hyd.

(OUT)

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE T. MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

W.A.No.2064 of 2004

(Judgment delivered by

Smt.Justice T.Meena Kumari)

30th December, 2004